

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: AMIT5

In re Application of:) Conf. No.: 2318
)
Michal AMIT et al.) Art Unit: 1632
)
Appln. No.: 10/581, 455) Examiner: Thaian N. Ton
)
Filed: June 1, 2006)
)
For: METHODS OF GENERATING) January 10, 2011
 STEM CELLS AND EMBRYONIC...)

RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building, Mail Stop **Amendment**
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

The present communication is responsive to the final rejection of July 30, 2010. Claims 52, 55, 57-60, 74, 75 and 78-101 presently appear in this case. Claims 61-73 have been withdrawn from consideration. The remaining claims have been rejected. The Official Action of July 30, 2010, has now been carefully studied. Reconsideration and allowance are hereby respectfully urged.

Claims 52, 55, 58-60, 74, 75, 78-80, 85, 86, 88-94, 99, 100 and 101 have been rejected under 35 USC 103a as being unpatentable over Thomson in view of Harper and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,390,659 (hereinafter Jessell) and